STATE OF THE PRACTICE: Justice of the Peace and Toxicology Reporting in Texas

Icohol and drug driving remains a prevailing issue in the state. Blood alcohol concentration (BAC) toxicology results are important in explaining the current quantitative picture of impaired driving and levels of intoxication in drivers. It also determines the level of federal funding that Texas receive to address impaired driving issues. Justices of the peace (JPs), acting as death investigators, are authorized to investigate motor vehicle crash fatalities to determine how alcohol and/or drugs contributed to the crash. If a driver is tested in a crash fatality, the JP reports toxicology results to the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) according to Texas Transportation Code 550.081. JPs' toxicology results provide insight into impaired motor vehicle deaths in Texas.

In 2019, the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) conducted a survey to understand JPs' experiences in reporting fatal driver BAC toxicology results to TxDOT's Crash Records Section (CRS). The survey results describe promising BAC toxicology reporting practices.

1 person killed in vehicle crashes in Texas every 2 hours, 25 minutes (2018) 940 people killed in crashes involving driving under the influence (2018)



STATOP

More Information Cinthya Roberto, MPA Assistant Transportation Researcher, TTI <u>c-sroberto@tti.tamu.edu</u> 979-317-2505 https://groups.tti.tamu.edu/cades/

126 Completed

surveys (representing 101 counties) received



82% JPs aware of Texas Transportation Code Section 550.081 Report of Medical Examiner or Justice of the Peace

Survey Results

Common Reasons for No BAC Testing

- 68%: Fatality was not the driver
- 54%: Lack of evidence indicating drug or alcohol use
- 37%: Fatal crash involved a single motor vehicle driver fatality
- Additional reasons: environmental conditions contributed to the crash; investigating officers did not believe testing was required or necessary



JP Reporting Process

Survey results indicate that, after visiting the scene of a fatal crash, JP offices tend to adhere to a similar protocol in determining the need for a toxicology test.





JP Toxicology Result **Reporting Rate to TxDOT**

62% Report toxicology results directly to TxDOT's CRS



Methods of Submitting Toxicology Results to TxDOT (Some Office Use Multiple Methods)



Mail

Email

Fax









Perceptions of the CR-1001 Death Toxicology Report Form

Of the JPs who report directly to TxDOT's CRS, 80 percent report

using the CR-1001 Death Toxicology Report form.



79% Prefer continuing to use the current form

21% Prefer sending laboratory results directly to TxDOT (no additional form needed)

Current BAC Reporting System Improvement Suggestions

Regarding the current BAC reporting system, 8 percent of JP respondents suggested changes that could improve or enhance BAC reporting. The most common recommendations were that:

- educational opportunities should be provided to JPs about the reporting process,
- law enforcement should submit the CR-1001 instead of JPs, and
- the current process should be improved.



Toxicology Report Submission Best Practices



TTI Educational Activity Feedback

Over the past 4 years, TTI's project team has conducted educational and outreach activities that focus on BAC toxicology reporting for JPs. The educational and outreach activities consist of webinar training sessions and presentations at educational events for JPs, and focus on related laws, duty to report, TxDOT acceptance methods, and experiences with the current reporting system.

Survey questions were crafted to collect data on JPs' current educational activities and identify potential topics for future training opportunities/activities.

12 JPs participating in TTI's webinar (all found the training beneficial)



62% Willing to work with a third party to increase reporting of BAC toxicology results to TxDOT's CRS